

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
Telephone: (213) 205-6560
cm@SoCalEAG.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
MIRIAM MALDONADO

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MIRIAM MALDONADO,
Plaintiff,
vs.

RONDA'S MEDICAL WIGS, LLC; JOHN
SU, AS TRUSTEE OF THE SU FAMILY
TRUST; and DOES 1 to 10,
Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;

2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;

3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;

4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;

5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff MIRIAM MALDONADO ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants
RONDA'S MEDICAL WIGS, LLC; JOHN SU, AS TRUSTEE OF THE SU FAMILY
TRUST; and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff is
disabled due to bilateral above the knee amputation and is substantially limited in her

1 ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in
2 public.

3 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
4 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a hair salon ("Business")
5 located at or about 16815 Prairie Ave., Unit B, Lawndale, California.

6 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
7 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,
8 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of
9 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been
10 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that each such
11 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to
12 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

13 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
14 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
15 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
16 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
17 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

18 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
19 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
20 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
21 and severally.

22 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

23 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and
24 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*
25 *seq.*).

26 7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,
27 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,
28

1 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,
2 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

3 8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

4 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). The real
5 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County,
6 California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

7 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

8 10. In or about October of 2024, Plaintiff went to the Business.

9 11. The Business is a hair salon business establishment, open to the public, and
10 is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation.

11 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally
12 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with her ability to use and enjoy the
13 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

14 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business
15 included, but were not limited to, the following:

- 16 a. Defendants failed to maintain accessibility for persons with
17 disabilities to comply with the federal and state standards. Defendants
18 failed to provide at least one accessible entrance as required as there
19 were stairs instead of a compliant ramp.

20 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the
21 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and
22 patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because
23 her knowledge of these violations prevents her from returning until the barriers are
24 removed.

25 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that
26 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.
27 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to her disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*
28 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

1 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the
2 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can
3 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the
4 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
5 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
6 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).

7 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
8 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
9 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
10 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
11 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
12 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
13 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

14 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum
15 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily
16 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including
17 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum
18 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the
19 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
20 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with
21 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the
22 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area
23 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and
24 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

25 21. At least one accessible route shall connect accessible building, facilities,
26 elements, and spaces that are on the same site. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.3.2. A public
27 accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities
28

1 and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with
2 disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

3 22. Here, Defendants failed to provide at least one accessible entrance to the
4 Business as the entrance had stairs instead of a proper ramp.

5 23. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
6 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
7 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

8 24. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by
9 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related
10 regulations.

11 25. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to
12 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be
13 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks
14 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable
15 by individuals with disabilities.

16 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

18 26. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
19 paragraphs in this complaint.

20 27. California Civil Code § 51 states, "All persons within the jurisdiction of this
21 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,
22 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual
23 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full
24 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business
25 establishments of every kind whatsoever."

26 28. California Civil Code § 52 states, "Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,
27 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6, is liable
28 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be

1 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the
2 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any
3 attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any
4 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

5 29. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, "a violation of the right of any
6 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)
7 shall also constitute a violation of this section."

8 30. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
9 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
10 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.
11 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
12 51 and 52.

13 31. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
14 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
15 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

16 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

18 32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
19 paragraphs in this complaint.

20 33. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, "Individuals with disabilities shall be
21 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
22 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
23 and physicians' offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
24 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
25 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
26 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
27 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
28

1 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
2 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

3 34. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
4 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
5 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an
6 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for
7 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting
8 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no
9 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be
10 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
11 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

12 35. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an
13 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
14 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
15 the access of any person in violation of that act.

16 36. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
17 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
18 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
19 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

20 37. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
21 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
22 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

23 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

25 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
26 paragraphs in this complaint.

27 39. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
28 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each

1 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
2 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
3 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

4 40. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
5 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
6 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
7 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
8 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
9 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
10 persons with disabilities.

11 41. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
12 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
13 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
14 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
15 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
16 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
17 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
18 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

19 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

20 **NEGLIGENCE**

21 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
22 paragraphs in this complaint.

23 43. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
24 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
25 to the Plaintiff.

26 44. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
27 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.
28

